JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT NOS. 01-20-90019, 01-20-90020, 01-20-90021, 01-20-90022, and 01-20-90023

BEFORE Gelpí and Montecalvo, <u>Circuit Judges</u> Mastroianni, Arias-Marxuach, and McElroy, <u>District Judges</u>

ORDER

ENTERED: FEBRUARY 28, 2023

Petitioner, a pro se litigant, has filed a petition for review of then Chief Judge Howard's (referred to as "Chief Judge") order dismissing petitioner's complaint, under 28 U.S.C. § 351(a), against five appellate judges in the First Circuit. Chief Judge Howard dismissed the misconduct complaint as baseless and as not cognizable, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and 352(b)(1)(A)(iii), and Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability (Rules of Judicial-Conduct), Rules 11(c)(1)(B) and 11(c)(1)(D). A review panel of the Judicial Council of the First Circuit has considered the petition for review and the relevant materials, and has concluded that the petition for review should be denied for the reasons stated in Chief Judge Howard's order of dismissal. <u>See</u> Rules of Judicial-Conduct, Rules 18(a) and 19(b)(1), and First Circuit Local Rule 19(a). Accordingly, the order of dismissal issued in Judicial Misconduct Complaint Nos. 01-20-90019, 01-20-90020, 01-20-90021, 01-20-90022, and 01-20-90023 is affirmed.

February 28, 2023

Date

Susan Goldberg, Secretary