

extinguishment contingent upon the appropriation of funds.¹⁷ DOI did not feel that the federal government was obligated to condition extinguishment in that way, but appreciative of the Tribes' concern, DOI was not opposed to making an amendment to include such language.¹⁸ Ultimately, sometime after August 8, 1980, the amendment was made in the form of Section 1723(d). This was one of the last issues to be resolved prior to the MICSA legislation being passed.¹⁹

¹⁷ See NMAI005, *supra* App. 2, note 5, at 4; *Letter to Senator John Melcher from Maine Attorney General Richard Cohen* (08/19/1980), p. 2, William S. Cohen Papers (MS 106), Special Collections, Raymond H. Fogler Library, University of Maine, Orono, Maine. 3.3.13.1 Box 9, Folder 12 (UMAINE014), available at <http://maineindianclaims.omeka.net/items/show/67>.

¹⁸ See UMAINE032, *supra* App. 2, note 6, at 3.

¹⁹ See *Settlement of Indian Land Claims in the State of Maine: Hearing Before the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, House of Representatives, 96th Cong., 2nd sess., H.R. 7919 (Serial No. 9641)*, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office (1980): 68, available at <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/mdp.39015082320907>; *Memo to Senator William S. Cohen from Timothy Woodcock concerning issues the State of Maine was having with several provisions* (09/05/1980), pp. 4-5, William S. Cohen Papers (MS 106), Special Collections, Raymond H. Fogler Library, University of Maine, Orono, Maine. 3.3.13.1 Box 8, Folder 1 (UMAINE002), available at <http://maineindianclaims.omeka.net/items/show/57>.