Not For Publication in West's Federal Reporter Citation Limited Pursuant to 1st Cir. Loc. R. 32.3 **United States Court of Appeals** For the First Circuit

No. 03-1563

UNITED STATES,

Appellee,

v.

JULIO CONEO-GUERRERO,

Defendant, Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

[Hon. José Antonio Fusté, U.S. District Judge]

Before

Lynch, Lipez and Howard, <u>Circuit Judges</u>.

<u>Julio Coneo-Guerrero</u> on brief pro se.

<u>Nelson Perez-Sosa</u>, Assistant United States Attorney, <u>H.S.</u> <u>Garcia</u>, United States Attorney, and <u>Sonia I. Torres-Pabon</u>, Assistant United States Attorney, Chief, Criminal Division, on brief for appellee.

February 3, 2004

<u>**Per Curiam**</u>. After carefully considering the briefs and record on appeal, we <u>affirm</u> the decision below.

The appellant could benefit from a retroactive application of Amendment 640 only if the amendment were listed as retroactive under U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(c), or it served merely to clarify the existing guideline. <u>United States</u> v. <u>Prezioso</u>, 989 F.2d 52 (1st Cir. 1993). The amendment satisfies neither condition. It is not listed under U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(c), and it substantively changed the guideline by creating an entirely new cap on offense level. *See* <u>United States</u> v. <u>Diaz-Cardenas</u>, 351 F.3d 404 (9th Cir. 2003); <u>United</u> <u>States</u> v. <u>Garcia</u>, 339 F.3d 116 (2nd Cir. 2003).

Affirmed. Loc. R. 27(c).