[NOT FOR PUBLICATION-NOT TO BE CITED AS PRECEDENT]

United States Court of AppealsFor the First Circuit

No. 99-2209

SCOTT W. VEALE; DAVID T. VEALE,

Plaintiffs, Appellants,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,

Defendants, Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

[Hon. Steven J. McAuliffe, <u>U.S. District Judge</u>]

Before

Selya, <u>Circuit Judge</u>, Campbell, <u>Senior Circuit Judge</u>, and Boudin, <u>Circuit Judge</u>.

Scott W. Veale and David T. Veale on brief pro se.

<u>Philip T. McLaughlin</u>, Attorney General, and <u>Daniel J. Mullen</u>, Senior Assistant Attorney General, on brief for State defendants.

 $\underline{\text{David P. Slawsky}}$ and $\underline{\text{Upton, Sanders \& Smith}}$ on brief for the Marlborough defendants.

<u>Paul M. Gagnon</u>, United States Attorney, and <u>T. David</u> <u>Plourde</u>, Assistant U.S. Attorney, on brief for Federal defendants. October 5, 2000

<u>Per Curiam</u>. The district court dismissed the amended complaint of appellants Scott W. Veale and David T. Veale, and appellants appealed. After carefully reviewing the record and the parties' briefs, we affirm this judgment for essentially the reasons stated in the district court's Order, dated September 1, 1999. We add only that there was no error in the district court's denial of appellants' motion for a change of venue.

Affirmed. See Local Rule 27(c).